arXiv:1208.2989v1 [math.NT] 14 Aug 2012

ABC IMPLIES PRIMITIVE PRIME DIVISORS IN ARITHMETIC DYNAMICS

C. GRATTON, K. NGUYEN, AND T. J. TUCKER

ABSTRACT. Let K be a number field, let $\varphi(x) \in K(x)$ be a rational function of degree $d > 1$, and let $\alpha \in K$ be a wandering point such that $\varphi^n(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $n > 0$. We prove that if the *abc* conjecture holds for K, then for all but finitely many positive integers n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) > 0$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all positive integers $m < n$. We prove the same result unconditionally for function fields of characteristic 0 when φ is not isotrivial.

1. Introduction

Let K be a number field or function field, let $\varphi(x) \in K(x)$ be a rational function of degree $d > 1$, and let $\alpha \in K$. We denote the n-iterate of φ as φ^n. It is often the case that for all but finitely many n, there is a prime that is a divisor of $\varphi^n(\alpha)$ that is not a divisor of $\varphi^m(\alpha)$ for any $m < n$. This problem was first considered by Bang [Ban86], Zsigmondy [Zsi92], and Schinzel [Sch74] in the context of the multiplicative group. More recently, many authors have considered the problem in other cases. Most of these results apply either when 0 is preperiodic under φ (see [FG11, IS09], for example) or when 0 is a ramification point of φ (see [DH12, Kri12, Ric07]). In this paper, we show that similar results will hold in close to full generality, assuming the *abc*-conjecture of Masser-Oesterlé-Szpiro for number fields. Our result also holds unconditionally over characteristic 0 function fields, where the *abc* conjecture is a theorem of Mason [Mas84]. We are not however, able to derive it directly from the *abc* conjecture in this case, because of the absence of Belyi maps (see Lemma 3.2) over function fields; our proof requires a more difficult theorem of Yamanoi [Yam04] conjectured by Vojta in [Voj98].

We will say that a field K is an ***abc*-field** if K is a number field satisfying the *abc*-conjecture [Voj87] or a characteristic zero function field of transcendence degree 1. We define the **orbit** $\mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$ of a point α under a map φ to be $\mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\{\varphi^i(\alpha)\}$. The most general results here are most naturally stated in terms of the canonical height h_φ of Call and Silverman [CS93] (see (2.0.3), (2.0.4), (2.0.5), and (2.0.6) for its definition and a few of its basic properties).

With this notation and terminology, the main theorem of our paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be an abc field, let $\varphi \in K(x)$ have degree $d > 1$, and let $\alpha \in K$ be a point such that $h_\varphi(\alpha) > 0$ and $0 \notin \mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\varphi(z) \neq cz^{\pm d}$ for any $c \in K$. Then for all but finitely many positive integers n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) > 0$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all positive integers $m < n$.*

Key words and phrases. primitive divisors, abc conjecture.

The third author was partially supported by NSF Grants DMS-0854839 and DMS-1200749.

We will say that φ is **dynamically ramified** if there at most are finitely many points γ such that $\varphi^n(\gamma) = 0$ and $e_{\varphi^n}(\gamma/0) = 1$ for some n, where $e_{\varphi^n}(\gamma/0)$ is the ramification index of φ^n at γ over 0.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be an abc field, let $\varphi \in K(x)$ have degree $d > 1$, and let $\alpha \in K$ have $h_\varphi(\alpha) > 0$ and that $0 \notin \mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$. Suppose φ is not dynamically ramified. Then for all but finitely many positive integers n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) = 1$ and $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all positive integers $m < n$.*

In fact, Theorem 1.2 can never hold for any φ that *is* dynamically ramified; see Remark 5.4. This is most easily seen in the case of maps such as $\varphi(x) = (x - a)^2$, which have the property that $\varphi^n(\alpha)$ is always a perfect square because φ^n itself is a perfect square in the field of rational functions.

Theorem 1.2 shows that the *abc*-conjecture implies what Jones and Boston call the "Strong Dynamical Wieferich Prime Conjecture" [BJ09, Conjecture 4.5] . Silverman [Sil88] had earlier shown that the *abc*-conjecture implies a logarithmic lower bound on the growth of the number of Wieferich primes; a Wieferich prime is a prime p for which $2^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$.

In keeping with the terminology of [IS09, Sch74], we say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a **primitive prime factor** of $\varphi^n(\alpha)$ if $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) > 0$ and $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all $m < n$. We say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a **square-free primitive prime factor** if $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) = 1$ and $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all $m < n$. Then Theorem 1.1 says that $\varphi^n(\alpha)$ has a primitive prime factor for all but finitely many n while Theorem 1.2 says that φ has a square-free primitive prime factor for all but finitely many n.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 may also be stated in terms of **wandering** α. We say that α is wandering if $\varphi^n(\alpha) \neq \varphi^m(\alpha)$ for all $n > m > 0$; this is equivalent to saying that $\mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$ is infinite. It follows immediately from Northcott's theorem that $h_\varphi(\alpha) \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in K$ is wandering for $\varphi \in K(x)$, where K is a number field and $\deg \varphi > 1$ (see [CS93]). By work of Benedetto and Baker [Ben05, Bak09], one has the same result for non-isotrivial rational functions over a function field. A rational function over a function field K is said to be **isotrivial** if it cannot be defined over a finite extension of the field of constants of K, up to change of coordinates; more precisely we say that φ is isotrivial if there exists $\psi \in \overline{K}(x)$ of degree 1 such that $(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \psi) \in \overline{k}(x)$, where ψ^{-1} is the compositional inverse of ψ (i.e., $\psi^{-1}(\psi(x)) = x$ in $\overline{K}(x)$).

Baker's result says that if K is a function field and $\varphi \in K(x)$ is a non-isotrivial map with $\deg \varphi > 1$, then a point $\alpha \in K$ is wandering if and only if $h_\varphi(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Thus, the following are immediate corollaries of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. *Let K be an abc field, let $\varphi \in K(x)$ have degree $d > 1$, and let $\alpha \in K$ be a wandering point such that $0 \notin \mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\varphi \neq cz^{\pm d}$ for any $c \in K$ and that φ is non-isotrivial if K is a function field. Then for all but finitely many positive integers n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) > 0$ and $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all positive integers $m < n$.*

Corollary 1.4. *Let K be an abc field, let $\varphi \in K(x)$ have degree $d > 1$, and let $\alpha \in K$ be a wandering point such that $0 \notin \mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$. Suppose φ is not dynamically ramified and that φ is non-isotrivial if K is a function field. Then for all but finitely many positive integers n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) = 1$ and $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all positive integers $m < n$.*

The strategy of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is fairly simple. First, we show, in Propositions 3.4 and 4.2, that if F is a polynomial of reasonably high degree without repeated roots, then for any γ of large height, the product of the distinct prime factors of $F(\gamma)$ is large, assuming the *abc*-conjecture in the number field case. We then apply this to an appropriate factor F of the numerator of a power φ^i of φ, after proving, in Proposition 5.1, that the product of the distinct factors of $\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1}\varphi^\ell(\alpha)$ that are also factors of $F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))$ must be very small. With finitely many exceptions, any prime that divides $F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))$ also divides $\varphi^n(\alpha)$, so $\varphi^n(\alpha)$ must then have a factor that is not a factor of $\varphi^m(\alpha)$ for any $m<n$.

An outline of the paper is as follows. We begin by setting our notation and terminology in Section 2. In Section 3 we modify a result of Granville [Gra98] that enables us to say, roughly, that polynomials without repeated factors take on "reasonably square-free" values in general, assuming the *abc*-conjecture; this is Proposition 3.4. Then, in Section 4, we derive the same result for function fields, unconditionally, using recent work of Yamanoi [Yam04]; this is Proposition 4.2. This enables us to give a proof of our main results in Section 5, using Proposition 5.1. We end with some applications of Theorem 1.2 to iterated Galois groups, in Section 6.

Remark 1.5. When 0 is in $\mathrm{Orb}_\varphi(\alpha)$ and α is wandering, there is a unique M such that $\varphi^M(\alpha)=0$. Hence, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 still hold if we impose the additional condition $m\neq M$ on the positive integers $m<n$ in the statements of these theorems.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Xander Faber, Dragos Ghioca, Andrew Granville, Patrick Ingram, Rafe Jones, Joseph Silverman, and Paul Vojta for many helpful conversations. This paper was written while the authors were visiting ICERM in Providence and it is our pleasure to thank ICERM for its hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

We set the following:

- K is a number field or function field of characteristic 0;
- if K is a function field, we let k denote its field of constants;
- $\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite prime of K
- $k_\mathfrak{p}$ is the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$;
- if K is a number field, then we let $\mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p}=\frac{\log(\#k_\mathfrak{p})}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$;
- if K is a function field, then we let $\mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p}=[k_\mathfrak{p}:k]$;
- $\varphi\in K(x)$ is a rational function of degree $d>1$.

All of this is completely standard with one exception: the quantity $\mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p}$ has been normalized in the case of number fields. We divide by $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ in our definition that we can use the same proofs (without reference to possible normalization factors) for number fields and function fields in Section 5.

When K is a number field, we let $\mathfrak{o}_K$ denote the ring of algebraic integers of K as usual. When K is a function field, we choose a prime $\mathfrak{q}$, and let $\mathfrak{o}_K$ denote the set $\{z\in K \mid v_\mathfrak{p}(z)\geq 0 \text{ for all primes } \mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{q} \text{ in } K\}$.

If K is a function field, the height of $\alpha \in K$ is

$$h(\alpha) = -\sum_{\text{primes } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } K} \min(v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha), 0)\, \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}}\,.$$

If K is a number field, the height of $\alpha \in K$ is

(2.0.1)

$$h(\alpha) = -\sum_{\text{primes } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } \mathfrak{o}_K} \min(v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha), 0)\, \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \max(\log |\sigma(\alpha)|, 0).$$

(Note that the $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is simply all maps from K to $\mathbb{C}$; in particular, we do not identify complex conjugate embeddings in any way.) In either case, the product formula gives the inequality

$$\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) > 0} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)\, \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq h(\alpha). \tag{2.0.2}$$

We will work with the canonical height h_φ, which is defined as

$$h_\varphi(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(\varphi^n(z)}{d^n}. \tag{2.0.3}$$

The convergence of the right-hand side follows from a telescoping series argument due to Tate. The canonical height has the following important properties:

$$h_\varphi(\varphi(z)) = d h_\varphi(z) \text{ for all } z \in K; \tag{2.0.4}$$

$$\text{there is a constant } C_\varphi \text{ such that } |h(z) - h_\varphi(z)| < C_\varphi \text{ for all } z \in K. \tag{2.0.5}$$

It follows immediately from (2.0.4) and (2.0.5) that

$$h_\varphi(\alpha) \neq 0 \iff \lim_{s \to \infty} h(\varphi^s(\alpha)) = \infty. \tag{2.0.6}$$

We refer the readers to the work of Call and Silverman [CS93] for details on the proofs of the various properties of h_φ.

We say that a point α is **preperiodic** if there exist $n > m > 0$ such that $\varphi^m(\alpha) = \varphi^n(\alpha)$; we will say that α is **periodic** if there is an $n > 0$ such that $\varphi^n(\alpha) = \alpha$. Note that a point is wandering if and only if it is not preperiodic.

We write $\varphi(x) = P(x)/Q(x)$ for $P, Q \in \mathfrak{o}_K[x]$ having no common roots in $\overline{K}$. Then we may write $\varphi^i(x) = P_i(x)/Q_i(x)$, where P_i and Q_i are defined recursively in terms of P and Q. This is most easily explained by passing to homogenous coordinates. We let $p(x, y)$ and $q(x, y)$ be the degree d homogenizations of P and Q respectively. Then we may define $p_i(x, y) = p(p_{i-1}(x, y), q_{i-1}(x, y))$ and $q_i(x, y) = q(p_{i-1}(x, y), q_{i-1}(x, y))$. Letting $P_i = p_i(x, 1)$ and $Q_i = q_i(x, 1)$ then gives our P_i and Q_i. We will say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of **good reduction** if $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ have no common root modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ and the polynomials $p(1, y)$ and $q(1, y)$ have no common roots modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. When $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of good reduction, φ induces a well-defined map from $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \cup \infty$ to itself. To describe this, let r_p be the reduction map $r_p : K \longrightarrow k_{\mathfrak{p}} \cup \infty$ given by $r_p(z) = z \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) \geq 0$ and $r_p(z) = \infty$ if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) < 0$. Then letting $\varphi(r_p(z)) = r_p(\varphi(z))$ defines a well-defined map on residue classes and thus gives the desired map. We will make use of this in Proposition 5.1.

When K is a function field, we say that φ is isotrivial if $\varphi = \sigma\psi\sigma^{-1}$ for some $\sigma \in \overline{K}(x)$ with $\deg \sigma = 1$ and some $\psi \in \overline{k}(x)$, where k is the field of constants in K. Here σ^{-1} is the compositional inverse of σ; we have $\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(x)) = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(x)) = x$ in the field $\overline{K}(x)$.

Finally, a few words on notation. Throughout this paper, a **finite set** may be empty, so when we say "there exist finitely many" that simply means that there are not infinitely many. The zeroth iterate of any map is taken to be the identity; in particular, $\varphi^0(x) = x$.

3. Roth-*abc* for number fields

The main result of this Section, Proposition 3.4, is a direct translation of [Gra98, Theorem 5] into the more general setting of number fields. Following Granville, we refer to this as a "Roth-abc" type result, because it can be interpreted as a strengthening of Roth's theorem [Rot55] (in particular the $-2-\epsilon$ here plays the same role as the the $2+\epsilon$ in Roth's theorem). The techniques are the same as those of [Gra98]. We include a full proof for the sake of completeness. The methods here are also quite similar to those of [Elk91] (see especially page 105).

Let K be a number field. We will be using a version of the "*abc*-Conjecture for Number Fields". Recall our definition of $h(z)$ for $z \in K$ from (2.0.1). For $n \geq 2$, we may extend this definition to an n-tuple $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in K^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ by letting

$$\begin{aligned} h(z_1, \dots, z_n) = &- \sum_{\text{primes } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } \mathfrak{o}_K} \min(v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_1), \dots, v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_n)) \, \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \max(\log|\sigma(z_1)|, \dots, \log|\sigma(z_n)|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.7}$$

Note that when $z_1 \neq z_2$, we have $h(z_1, z_2) = h(z_1/z_2, 1) = h(z_1/z_2)$.

For any $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (K^*)^n$, we define

$$I(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \{\text{primes } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } \mathfrak{o}_K \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_i) \neq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_j) \text{ for some } 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$$

and let

$$\mathrm{rad}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in I(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

With all of this notation set, the *abc*-Conjecture for number fields says the following.

Conjecture 3.1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{K,\epsilon} > 0$ such that for all $a, b, c \in K^*$ satisfying $a + b = c$, we have*

$$h(a, b, c) < (1 + \epsilon)(\mathrm{rad}(a, b, c)) + C_{K,\epsilon}.$$

Following Granville [Gra98], we start by proving a homogeneous form of Roth-*abc*. Let S be a finite set of finite primes of K. We will say that a pair $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ is in **S-reduced form** if they have no common prime factors outside of S, that is $\min(v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_1), v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z_2)) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$.

We will use a well-known result of Belyi [Bel79].

Lemma 3.2. *Given any homogeneous $f(x, y) \in K[x, y]$, we can determine homogeneous polynomials $a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}_K[x, y]$, all of degree $D \geq 1$, with bounded common factors, where $a(x, y)b(x, y)c(x, y)$ has exactly $D + 2$ non-proportional linear factors (over $\overline{K}$), which include all the factors of $f(x, y)$, and $a(x, y) + b(x, y) = c(x, y)$.*

We may then prove the following.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f(x,y) \in \mathfrak{o}_K[x,y]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 or more without repeated factors and let S be a a finite set of finite primes in K. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let S be a finite set of finite places of K. Then*

$$(\deg f - 2 - \epsilon)(h(z_1,z_2)) \leq \left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1)$$

for all $(z_1,z_2) \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ in S-reduced form.

Proof. We begin by applying Lemma 3.2 to obtain $a(x,y), b(x,y), c(x,y) \in \mathfrak{o}_K[x,y]$ of degree D where $a(x,y)b(x,y)c(x,y)$ has exactly $D+2$ non-proportional linear factors (over $\overline{K}$), which include all the factors of $f(x,y)$, and $a(x,y) + b(x,y) = c(x,y)$. Write the product of the factors of $a(x,y)b(x,y)c(x,y)$ as $f(x,y)g(x,y)$.

Then applying the *abc*-Conjecture for number fields, we obtain

$$(1-\epsilon/D)(h(a(z_1,z_2),b(z_1,z_2))) \leq \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in I(a(z_1,z_2),b(z_1,z_2),c(z_1,z_2))} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1).$$

Now, a, b, and c are coprime and (z_1,z_2) is in S-reduced form, so, possibly after enlarging S, have a finite set S of primes, depending only on a, b, c and K such that $\mathfrak{p} \in I(a(z_1,z_2),b(z_1,z_2),c(z_1,z_2))$ if and only $v_\mathfrak{p}(a(z_1,z_2)b(z_1,z_2)c(z_1,z_2)) > 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. Since $a(x,y)b(x,y)c(x,y)$ has the same factors as $f(x,y)g(x,y)$, we therefore have

$$\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in I(a(z_1,z_2),b(z_1,z_2),c(z_1,z_2))} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) \leq \left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + \left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(g(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1),$$

so

(3.3.1)

$$(1-\epsilon/D)(h(a(z_1,z_2),b(z_1,z_2))) \leq \left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + \left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(g(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1).$$

By basic properties of height functions, we have

$$\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(g(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \leq h(g(z_1,z_2)) \leq (D+2-\deg f)(h(z_1,z_2)) + O(1),$$

since g has degree $D+2-\deg f$. Similarly, we have

$$h(a(z_1,z_2),b(z_1,z_2)) + O(1) \geq D(h(z_1,z_2)).$$

Substituting these inequalities into (3.3.1) gives

$$(\deg f - 2 - \epsilon)(h(z_1,z_2)) \leq \left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1,z_2))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1)$$

as desired. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $F(x) \in \mathfrak{o}_K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree 3 or more without repeated factors. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_{f,\epsilon}$ such that*

$$\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \geq (\deg F - 2 - \epsilon)h(z) + C_{f,\epsilon}$$

for all $z \in K$.

Proof. By the Minkowski's theorem on the class group, there is a computable set S of finite primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that the set of $\mathfrak{o}_{K,S}$ is a principal ideal domain where $\mathfrak{o}_{K,S}$ is the usual set of S-integers given by $\mathfrak{o}_{K,S} = \{x \in \mathfrak{o}_K \mid |x|_\mathfrak{p} \leq 1 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$. Thus we may write $z = z_1/z_2$ where (z_1, z_2) is in S-reduced form for a computable finite S of finite primes depending only on K.

Let $g(x, y)$ be the homogenization of $F(x)$ so that $g(x, 1) = F(x)$ and $g(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{\deg f} F(z_1)$. Let $f(x, y) = yg(x, y)$. Let T_1 be a set of primes such that z_1 and z_2 have no common zeroes outside T_1 and let T_2 be the set of primes such that $|a_n|_p \neq 1$ for some nonzero coefficient a_n of F (note that T_1 and T_2 are finite and depend only on K and F). Then for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin T_1 \cup T_2$, we have $v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z)) \neq 0$ if and only if $v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1, \beta)) > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z)) \neq 0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1) \geq \sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1,z_2)) > 0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \,.$$

Since $h(z) = h(z_1, z_2)$ and $\deg f = \deg F + 1$, applying Proposition 3.3 gives

$$\left(\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z_1,z_2)) > 0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \right) + O(1) \geq (\deg F - 1 - \epsilon)(h(z_1, z_2)). \tag{3.4.1}$$

For $\mathfrak{p} \notin T_1 \cup T_2$, we have $v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z)) < 0$ exactly when $v_\mathfrak{p}(z) < 0$, so

$$\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z)) > 0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \leq h(z) + O(1).$$

Thus, we have a constant $C_{f,\epsilon}$ such that $\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(f(z)) > 0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \geq (\deg F - 2 - \epsilon)h(z) + C_{f,\epsilon}$, as desired. □

4. Roth-*abc* for function fields

Using Yamanoi's theorem [Yam04, Theorem 5] which establishes a conjecture of Vojta for function fields (see also [Gas09, McQ09]), we obtain a function field analog of Proposition 3.4. Note that a more general implication is proved by Vojta in [Voj98], see also [Voj11, p. 202]. In the special case needed here, we include a short proof for the sake of completeness.

Let V be a curve over a function field K, and let $\beta \in V(\overline{K})$. Then we define

$$d(\beta) = \frac{1}{[K(\beta) : K]} \sum_{\text{primes } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } K} (v_\mathfrak{p}(\Delta_{K(\beta)/K}))$$

where $\Delta_{K(\beta)/K}$ is the relative discriminant of the extension $K(\beta)/K$.

Since we are working over a function field of characteristic 0 (so that all ramification is tame), we may use the definition

$$d(\beta) = \frac{1}{[K(\beta) : K]} \sum_{\text{primes } \mathfrak{q} \text{ of } K(\beta)} (e(\mathfrak{q}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K)) - 1) \, \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{q}$$

where $e(\mathfrak{q}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K))$ is the ramification index of $\mathfrak{q}$ over $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_V$ be the usual canonical divisor on V, and let $h_{\mathcal{K}_V}$ be a height function for $\mathcal{K}_V$. Yamanoi [Yam04] proves the following result, sometimes called the Vojta $(1 + \epsilon)$-conjecture.

Theorem 4.1. *(Yamanoi) Let K be a function field, let V be a curve over K, let M be a positive integer, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a constant $C_{M,\epsilon}$ such that for all $\beta \in V(\overline{K})$ with $[K(\beta) : K] \leq M$, we have*

$$h_{\mathcal{K}_V}(\beta) \leq (1+\epsilon)d(\beta) + C_{M,\epsilon}. \tag{4.1.1}$$

We will use Theorem 4.1 to prove Proposition 4.2, the function field analog of Proposition 3.4. To do this, we first introduce a little information about height functions and divisors.

The divisor $\mathcal{K}_V$ has degree $2g_V - 2$ where g_V is the genus of V. By the standard theory of heights on curves (see [Voj87, Proposition 1.2.9]), for example), if D is any ample divisor, and D' is an arbitrary divisor, we have

$$\lim_{h_D(z)\to\infty} \frac{h_{D'}(z)}{h_D(z)} = \frac{\deg D'}{\deg D}. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Now, let $\pi : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a nonconstant map on a curve. Suppose that $\pi(\beta) = z$ for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$. The usual height $h(z)$ comes from a degree 1 divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1$ which pulls back to a degree $\deg \pi$ divisor on V. Furthermore if $\pi(\beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, then $[K(\beta) : K] \leq \deg \pi$. Thus, Theorem 4.1 and (4.1.2) imply that for any $\epsilon' > 0$, we have

$$(1-\epsilon')\frac{2g_V - 2}{\deg \pi} h(\pi(\beta)) \leq d(\beta) + O_{\epsilon'}(1) \tag{4.1.3}$$

for all $\beta \in V(\overline{K})$ such that $\pi(\beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$.

We will use this to prove a function field analog of Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 4.2. *Let K be a function field and let $F(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree 3 or more without repeated factors. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_{F,\epsilon}$ such that*

$$\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f(z))>0} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq (\deg F - 2 - \epsilon)h(z) + C_{F,\epsilon} \tag{4.2.1}$$

for all $z \in K$.

Proof. For each $n > 0$, let V_n be the nonsingular projective model over K of $y^n = F(x)$. To calculate the genus g_n of V_n, we use the morphism $\pi : V_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by projection onto the x-coordinate; that is, $\pi(x, y) = x$.

From now on, we choose n such that it is relatively prime $\deg F$. This makes the above morphism totally ramified at zeroes and poles of of F and unramified everywhere else. Since F has a single pole at the point at infinity along with $\deg F$ zeros, and π has degree n, the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem gives

$$2g_n - 2 = (n-1)(\deg F + 1) - 2n = n(\deg F - 1) - (\deg F + 1). \tag{4.2.2}$$

Suppose that $\pi(\beta) = z \in K$. Then (4.1.3) and (4.2.2) together give

$$(1-\epsilon')\left(\deg F - 1 - \frac{\deg F + 1}{n}\right) h(z) \leq d(\beta) + O_{\epsilon',n}(1)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choosing sufficiently large n and sufficiently small ϵ' yields

$$(\deg F - 1 - \epsilon)h(z) \leq d(\beta) + O_{n,\epsilon}(1). \tag{4.2.3}$$

Now, $K(\beta) = K(\sqrt[n]{F(z)})$, which can only ramify over a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ when $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(z)) \neq 0$. Since $e(\mathfrak{q}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K)) \leq n-1$, where $e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K)$ is the ramification index of $\mathfrak{q}$

over $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K$, we have $d(\beta) \leq \sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z))\neq 0} \mathrm{N}_p$. When $v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z)) < 0$, either $v_\mathfrak{p}(z) < 0$ or $v_\mathfrak{p}(a_i) < 0$ for some coefficient a_i of $F(z)$. Since F has only finitely many coefficients and each has negative valuation at only finitely many primes, this means that $\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z))<0} \mathrm{N}_p \leq h(z) + O_F(1)$. Hence,

$$d(\beta) \leq \sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z))>0} \mathrm{N}_p + h(z) + O_F(1). \tag{4.2.4}$$

Combining (4.2.3) with (4.2.4) then gives (4.2.1). □

5. Proofs of main theorems

We begin with a proposition that allows us to control the size of certain non-primitive factors of $\varphi^n(\alpha)$. We choose a polynomial factor F of the numerator P_i of $\varphi^i(z)$ and use the fact that, outside a finite set of primes, we have $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) > 0$ whenever $v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))) > 0$. If $m < n$, the condition

$$\min(v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))), v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha))) > 0$$

forces some root of F to be periodic modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, with period at most $n-m$. If all of the roots of F are non-periodic, then, for bounded $n-m$, there are at most finitely many such $\mathfrak{p}$. Thus, any $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\min(v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))), v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha))) > 0$ comes from either a bounded set or from a relatively low order iterate $\varphi^\ell(\alpha)$ of α. Since $h(\varphi^\ell(\alpha))$ is very small relative to $h(\varphi^n(\alpha))$ when ℓ is small relative to n, this allows for a strong lower bound on the product of all such $\mathfrak{p}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\delta > 0$, let $\alpha \in K$ such that $\lim_{s\to\infty} h(\varphi^s(\alpha)) = \infty$, and let F be a factor of the numerator of φ^i such that every root β_j of F is non-periodic and satisfies $\varphi^\ell(\beta_j) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, i-1$. Then there is a constant C_δ such that for all positive integers n, we have*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \leq \delta h(\varphi^n(\alpha)) + C_\delta, \tag{5.1.1}$$

where Z is the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\min(v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)), v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))) > 0$ for some positive integer $m < n$.

Proof. Let L be a finite extension of K over which F splits completely as $F(x) = a(x-\beta_1)\dots(x-\beta_s)$, for $\beta_j \in L$. Then, for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K, we have $v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z)) > 0$ if and only if $v_\mathfrak{q}(z-\beta_j) > 0$ for some prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of L with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_K$. Thus, it suffices to show that for each β_j, there is a C_δ such that for all n we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in Y} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \leq \delta h(\varphi^n(\alpha)) + C_\delta, \tag{5.1.2}$$

where Y is the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\min(v_\mathfrak{q}(\varphi^m(\alpha)), v_\mathfrak{q}(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha) - \beta_j)) > 0$ for some positive integer $m < n$ and some prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of L with $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$.

Let Y_1 be the set of primes of L at which φ does not have good reduction, as defined in Section 2. Write $P_i = FR$, and let Y_2 be the finite set of primes $\mathfrak{q}$ at which some $|b_s|_\mathfrak{q} \neq 1$ for some nonzero coefficient of F or R. Then, for all $\mathfrak{q}$ outside of $Y_1 \cup Y_2$, we have $\varphi(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ whenever $F(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$.

If $\min(v_\mathfrak{q}(\varphi^m(\alpha)), v_\mathfrak{q}(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha) - \beta_j)) > 0$ for $n-i \leq m < n$, then $v_\mathfrak{q}(\varphi^{n-m}(\beta_j)) > 0$. The set Y_3 of primes for which this can happen is therefore finite since $\varphi^\ell(\beta_j) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, i-1$.

For any B, the let W_B be the set of primes outside $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3$ such that $\min(v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)), v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha) - \beta_j)) > 0$ for some some positive integers m and n with $n - i > m > n - i - B$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in W_B$, then $\varphi^m(\alpha) \equiv \varphi^n(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, so 0 is in a cycle of period at most $n - m$ modulo $\mathfrak{q}$. Since $\beta_j \equiv \varphi^{n-i}(\alpha) \equiv \varphi^{(n-i)-m}(0) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, we see that β_j is in the same cycle modulo $\mathfrak{q}$. This implies that β_j has period $B + i$ or less modulo $\mathfrak{q}$. Since β_j is not periodic, there are only finitely many such $\mathfrak{q}$, so W_B must be finite. (Note that φ induces a well-defined map from $k_{\mathfrak{q}} \cup \infty$ to itself, because $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of good reduction for φ.)

Note that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^l(\alpha)) > 0$ if and only if $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi^l(\alpha)) > 0$ for some $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$. Let Z_B be the set $\{\text{primes } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{o}_K \mid \mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{q} \in W_B\}$. When $\mathfrak{p} \notin Z_B \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3$, we see then that if $\min(v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))), v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^n(\alpha))) > 0$ then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) > 0$ for some positive integer $m \leq n - i - B$. Since Y_1, Y_2, and Y_3 are finite, and Z_B is finite for any positive integer B, see that for any B, there is a constant C_B such that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-i-B} \sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^\ell(\alpha))>0} N_{\mathfrak{p}} + C_B \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-B-i} h(\varphi^\ell(\alpha)) + C_B \tag{5.1.3}$$

where Y is the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ where $\min(v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)), v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha) - \beta_j)) > 0$ for some positive integer $m < n$.

It suffices then to show that then, that for any δ, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-B-i} h(\varphi^\ell(\alpha)) < \delta(h(\varphi^n(\alpha))) \tag{5.1.4}$$

for all sufficiently large n. We will use a telescoping sum argument and the canonical height of Call and Silverman [CS93] here. Recall that by (2.0.4), we have $h_\varphi(\varphi(z)) = dh_\varphi(z)$ for all $z \in K$ and that by (2.0.5), there is a constant C_φ such that $|h(z) - h_\varphi(z)| < C_\delta$ for all $z \in K$.

Choose B_δ such that $1/d^{B_\delta+i} < \delta/4$ and $d^n(h_\varphi(\alpha)) > \frac{(n+1)C_\varphi}{\delta/2}$ for all $n > B_\delta$. Then for all $n > B_\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-B-i} h(\varphi^\ell(\alpha)) &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-B-i} h_\varphi(\varphi^\ell(\alpha)) + nC_\varphi \\
&= \frac{1}{d^{B_\delta+i}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-B-i-1} \frac{h_\varphi(\varphi^n(\alpha))}{d^r} + nC_\varphi \text{ (by (2.0.4))} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{d^{B_\delta+i}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^r} \right) h_\varphi(\varphi^n(\alpha)) + nC_\varphi \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2} h_\varphi(\varphi^n(\alpha)) + nC_\varphi \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{2} h(\varphi^n(\alpha)) + (n+1)C_\varphi \text{ (by (2.0.5))} \\
&\leq \delta h(\varphi^n(\alpha)).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1.5}$$

Thus, (5.1.4) holds, and our proof is complete. □

We say that a point β is exceptional if $\varphi^{-2}(\beta) = \beta$. The condition $\varphi(z) \neq cz^{\pm d}$ implies that 0 is not exceptional.

Lemma 5.2. *If $\beta \in \overline{K}$ is not exceptional, then $\varphi^{-3}(\beta)$ contains at least two distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$.*

Proof. If φ^{-3} contains only one point, γ, then φ is totally ramified at γ, $\varphi(\gamma)$, and $\varphi^2(\gamma)$. By Riemann-Hurwitz, φ can have at most two totally ramified points, so this means that γ, $\varphi(\gamma)$, and $\varphi^2(\gamma)$ are not distinct, to we must have $\varphi^2(\gamma) = \gamma$, so γ is exceptional. But then β must be exceptional too. □

Now, we can give a quick proof of 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. There is an i such that P_i has a factor $F \in K[x]$ of degree 4 (see Remark 5.3) or more such that every root β_j of F is non-periodic and satisfies $\varphi^\ell(\beta_j) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, i-1$. To see this note that since $\varphi^{-3}(0)$ contains two points, by Lemma 5.2, at least one of these points is not periodic. Taking the third inverse image of this point yields at least four non-periodic points; if one of these is the point at infinity, then three further inverse images yields eight points, at none of which is the point at infinity. Let i be the smallest integer such that $\varphi^i(z) = 0$ for these points z (this i is the same for all of them since they are all inverse images of the same non-periodic point), and let $F \in K[x]$ be a factor of P_i that vanishes at all of these z. Then $\deg F \geq 4$ by construction.

By Propositions 3.4 and 4.2, with $\epsilon = 1$, there is a nonzero constant C_1 such that

$$\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} > (\deg F - 3)h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) \geq h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) + C_1.$$

Applying Proposition 5.1 with $\delta = 1/(2d^i)$ and using the fact that $h(\varphi^i(z)) \leq d^i h(z) + O(1)$ for all $z \in K$, we see that there is a constant C_2 such that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} \leq \frac{1}{2} h_\varphi(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) + C_2$$

where Z is the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\min(v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))), v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha))) > 0$ for some positive integer $m < n$. Thus, when $h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) > 2(C_2 - C_1)$, we have $\sum_{v_\mathfrak{p}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))>0} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p} > \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z} \mathrm{N}_\mathfrak{p}$ so there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(P_i(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))) > 0$ but $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all $m < n$. Now, writing $\varphi^i(x) = F(x)R(x)/T(x)$, where FR and T are coprime, we see that for all but finitely many $\mathfrak{p}$, we have $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^i(z)) > 0$ whenever $v_\mathfrak{p}(F(z)) > 0$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) = \infty$ (by (2.0.6)), we see then that for all but finitely many n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) > 0$ and $v_\mathfrak{p}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all $1 \leq m < n$. □

Theorem 1.2 is proved in the same manner as Theorem 1.1. The only significant difference is that we use a square-free factor F of P_i, which is possible because φ is not dynamically ramified.

Proof. There is an i such that P_i has a factor F of degree 8 (see Remark 5.3) or more such that every root β_j of F is non-periodic, satisfies $\varphi^\ell(\beta_j) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, i-1$, and has multiplicity 1 as a root of P_i. To see this note that since φ is not dynamically ramified are finitely many points γ such that $\varphi^n(\gamma) = \beta$ and $e_{\varphi^n}(\gamma/0) = 1$ for some n, where $e_{\varphi^n}(\gamma/0)$ is the ramification index of φ^n at γ over 0. . Thus, we may choose such a γ that is not periodic and which is not in forward orbit of any ramification points or the point at infinity. Then $\varphi^{-3}(\gamma)$ contains at least 8 points (since $d \geq 2$) in $\varphi^{-(n+3)}(0)$ none of which are ramification points of

φ^{n+3}. None of this points can be periodic since γ is not periodic. Let i be the smallest i such that $\varphi^i(z) = 0$ for these points z (this i is the same for all of them since they are all inverse images of the same non-periodic point), and let $F \in K[x]$ be a factor of P_i that vanishes at all of these z. Then $\deg F \geq 8$ by construction.

Applying Roth-*abc* to F with $\epsilon = 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))>0} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} > (\deg F - 3)h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) + C_3$$

for some constant C_3, depending only on F. Since

$$\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))>0} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))\,\mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq (\deg F)h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) + O(1),$$

we see that there is a constant C_4 such that

$$\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))\geq 2} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} > \frac{\deg F}{2} h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) + C_4.$$

Since $\deg F \geq 8$, we have $(\deg F)/2 - 3 \geq 1$, so there is a constant C_5 such that

$$\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)))=1} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} > h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) + C_5.$$

Applying Theorem 5.1 with $\delta = 1/(2d^i)$ and using the fact that $h(\varphi^i(z)) \leq d^i h(z) + O(1)$ for all $z \in K$, we see that there is a constant C_6 such that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z} \mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \frac{1}{2} h_{\varphi}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) + C_6$$

where Z is the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\min(v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)), v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)))) > 0$ for $1 \leq m < n$. Thus, when $h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) > 2(C_6 - C_4)$, there is prime $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha))) = 1$ but $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all $m < n$. Now, writing $\varphi^i(x) = F(x)R(x)/T(x)$, where F, R, and T are pairwise coprime, we see that for all but finitely many $\mathfrak{p}$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^i(z)) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F)$ whenever $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(F(z)) > 0$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} h(\varphi^{n-i}(\alpha)) = \infty$ (by (2.0.6)), we see then that for all but finitely many n, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) = 1$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^m(\alpha)) \leq 0$ for all $1 \leq m < n$.

□

Remark 5.3. In the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the degree of the polynomial F could be taken as large as one likes. Degrees 4 and 8, respectively, are simply convenient for the estimates. We wish to avoid the point at infinity so that we can take a polynomial $F(x)$ that vanishes at all of the points (without introducing homogenous coordinates). The reason we do not take $\deg F$ to be exactly 4 or 8 is that doing so might require passing to a finite extension of K, and we do not wish to assume the *abc*-conjecture for extensions of K when K is a number field.

Remark 5.4. When φ is dynamically ramified, there are at most finitely many $\mathfrak{p}$ that appear as square-free factors of any $\varphi^n(\alpha)$. If φ is dynamically ramified, then there are at most finitely many polynomials that appear as factors of any P_n, where P_n is the numerator of φ. Thus, for any α, there are only finitely many $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi^n(\alpha)) = 1$ for some n. Thus, the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 will never hold for a dynamically ramified rational function.

6. An application to iterated Galois groups

Our original motivation for the problem of square-free primitive divisors comes from the study of Galois groups of iterates of polynomials, that is, Galois groups of splitting fields of $f^m(x)$ for f a polynomial. Odoni [Odo85, Odo88] calculated these groups for "generic polynomials" and for the specific polynomial x^2+1. Stoll [Sto92] later calculated them for polynomials of the form x^2+a, where a is a positive integer congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 4. In particular, Stoll defines $\Omega_{n,a}$ to be the splitting field of $f_a^n(x)$ for $f_a(x) = x^2 + a$ and shows that if a is a positive integer congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 4, then

$$[\Omega_{n+1,a} : \Omega_{n,a}] = 2^{2^n} \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \tag{6.0.1}$$

This allows for a completely explicit description of $\mathrm{Gal}(\Omega_{m,a}/\mathbb{Q})$ for any m in terms of an inductive wreath product structure. Stoll notes that (6.0.1) is not true for $f_a(x) = x^2 + a$ when a is an integer of the form $-b^2 - 1$ for b a positive integer, since in this case one has $[\Omega_{2,a} : \Omega_{1,a}] = 2$.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that the abc-conjecture for $\mathbb{Q}$ holds. Let $a \neq -2$ be an integer such that $-a$ is not a perfect square in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, with notation above, we have*

$$[\Omega_{n+1,a} : \Omega_{n,a}] = 2^{2^n} \tag{6.1.1}$$

for all but finitely many natural numbers n.

Proof. By [Sto92, Lemma 1.6], we have (6.1.1) whenever $f_a^{n+1}(0)$ is not a square in $\Omega n, a$. A simple calculation with discriminants (see [Odo85, Lemma 3.1] or [Jon07, Lemma 4.10], for example) shows that that $\mathrm{Disc}\, f^m(x) = 2^{2^m} \cdot \mathrm{Disc}(f^{m-1}(x)) \cdot f^m(0)$. Hence, by induction we see that $\Omega_{n,a}$ is unramified away from primes dividing $2\prod_{i=1}^n f^i(0)$. Since $f_a(x)$ is not dynamically ramified and 0 is not preperiodic for f_a, we may apply Theorem 1.2 and conclude that for all but finitely many n, there is an prime $\mathfrak{p} \neq 2$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f^{n+1}(0)) = 1$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f^m(0)) = 0$ for all $1 \leq m < n+1$ (note that a negative valuation for any $f^m(0)$ s not possible since a is an integer). Thus, for all but finitely many n, we see that $f_a^{n+1}(0)$ is not a square in $\Omega_{n,a}$, which finishes our proof. □

Remark 6.2. Using arguments from [Jon07], one can show Proposition 6.1 holds for any $a \neq 0, -1, -2$; the proof, however, becomes more complicated. One can also use similar arguments to show that the *abc*-conjecture implies [JM12, Conjecture 1.1]. We plan to return to this problem in more generality in future work.

Remark 6.3. One might also ask if Proposition 6.1 holds for function fields in characteristic 0. We let K be a function field of characteristic 0, $a \in K$ be non-constant, $f_a(x) = x^2 + a$, and $\Omega_{n,a}$ be the splitting field of $f_a^n(x)$ over K. If $K = k(a)$, for k, the field of constants of K, then a specialization argument (from a to an positive integer congruent to 1 or 2 mod 4) shows that $[\Omega_{n+1,a} : \Omega_{n,a}] = 2^{2^n}$ for all n. Since $[K : k(a)]$ is finite for any non-constant $a \in K$, it follows that for any non-constant a in a function field K of characteristic 0, one has $[\Omega_{n+1,a} : \Omega_{n,a}] = 2^{2^n}$ for all but finitely many n.

Let T be the binary rooted tree whose vertices at level n are the roots of $f^n(x)$. Then Proposition 6.1 implies that the natural image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ has finite index in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$. This can be interpreted as a dynamical analog of Serre's

openness theorem for Galois representations on torsion points of elliptic curves [Ser72] (see [BJ09]).

References

[Bak09] M. Baker, *A finiteness theorem for canonical heights attached to rational maps over function fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **626** (2009), 205–233.

[Ban86] A. S. Bang, *Taltheoretiske Undersogelse*, Tidsskrift Mat. **4** (1886), no. 5, 70–80, 130–137.

[Bel79] G. V. Belyĭ, *Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), no. 2, 267–276, 479.

[Ben05] R. L. Benedetto, *Heights and preperiodic points of polynomials over function fields*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 62, 3855–3866.

[BJ09] N. Boston and R. Jones, *The image of an arboreal Galois representation*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **5** (2009), no. 1, 213–225.

[CS93] G. S. Call and J. H. Silverman, *Canonical heights on varieties with morphism*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), 163–205.

[DH12] K. Doerksen and A. Haensch, *Primitive prime divisors in zero orbits of polynomials*, Integers **12** (2012), no. A9, 7 pages.

[Elk91] N. D. Elkies, *ABC implies Mordell*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1991), no. 7, 99–109.

[FG11] X. Faber and A. Granville, *Prime factors of dynamical sequences*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **661** (2011), 189–214.

[Gas09] C. Gasbarri, *The strong abc conjecture over function fields (after McQuillan and Yamanoi)*, Astérisque (2009), no. 326, Exp. No. 989, viii, 219–256 (2010), Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.

[Gra98] A. Granville, *ABC allows us to count squarefrees*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 19, 991–1009.

[IS09] P. Ingram and J. H. Silverman, *Primitive divisors in arithmetic dynamics*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **146** (2009), no. 2, 289–302.

[Jon07] R. Jones, *Iterated Galois towers, their associated martingales, and the p-adic Mandelbrot set*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), no. 5, 1108–1126.

[JM12] R. Jones and M. Manes, *Galois theory of quadratic rational functions*, available online at `arxiv.org/abs/1101.4339`, 38 pages, 2012.

[Kri12] H. Krieger, *Primitive prime divisors in the critical orbit of $z^d + c$*, to appear in Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 2012.

[Mas84] R. C. Mason, *Diophantine equations over function fields*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[McQ09] M. McQuillan, *Old and new techniques in function field arithmetic*, preprint, 2009.

[Odo85] R. W. K. Odoni, *The Galois theory of iterates and composites of polynomials*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **51** (1985), no. 3, 385–414.

[Odo88] ———, *Realising wreath products of cyclic groups as Galois groups*, Mathematika **35** (1988), no. 1, 101–113.

[Ric07] B. Rice, *Primitive prime divisors in polynomial arithmetic dynamics*, Integers **12** (2007), no. A26, 16 pages.

[Rot55] K. F. Roth, *Rational approximations to algebraic numbers*, Mathematika **2** (1955), 1–20, corrigendum, ibid. **2** (1955), 168.

[Sch74] A. Schinzel, *Primitive divisors of the expression $a^n - b^n$ in algebraic number fields*, J. Reine Angew. **268/269** (1974), 27–33, Collection of articles dedicated to Helmut Hasse on his seventy-fifth birthday, II.

[Ser72] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331. MR 0387283 (52 #8126)

[Sil88] J. H. Silverman, *Wieferich's criterion and the abc-conjecture*, J. Number Theory **30** (1988), no. 2, 226–237.

[Sto92] M. Stoll, *Galois groups over $\mathbf{Q}$ of some iterated polynomials*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **59** (1992), no. 3, 239–244.

[Voj87] P. Vojta, *Diophantine approximations and value distribution theory*, Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 1239, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[Voj98] P. Vojta, *A more general abc conjecture*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1998** (1998), 1103–1116.

[Voj11] Paul Vojta, *Diophantine approximation and Nevanlinna theory*, Arithmetic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2009, Springer, Berlin, 2011, pp. 111–224.

[Yam04] K. Yamanoi, *The second main theorem for small functions and related problems*, Acta Math. **192** (2004), no. 2, 225–294.

[Zsi92] K. Zsigmondy, *Zur Theorie der Potenzreste*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. **3** (1892), no. 1, 265–284.

Chad Gratton, Department of Mathematics, Hylan Building, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627

E-mail address: grattonchad@gmail.com

Khoa Nguyen, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

E-mail address: khoanguyen2511@gmail.com

Thomas Tucker, Department of Mathematics, Hylan Building, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627

E-mail address: thomas.tucker@rochester.edu